

CONTRACTIBLE, NON-COLLAPSIBLE PRODUCTS WITH CUBES

I. BERSTEIN, M. COHEN and R. CONNELLY

(Received 16 June 1977)

§1. INTRODUCTION

A COMPACT polyhedron X is said to be q -collapsible if $X \times I^q$ is PL collapsible, where I^q denotes the unit cube with its usual PL structure. We shall let \mathcal{C}_n denote the class of compact contractible n -dimensional polyhedra.

E. C. Zeeman [18] initiated the study of q -collapsibility when he pointed out that the 3-dimensional Poincaré conjecture is true if every element $X \in \mathcal{C}_2$ which embeds in a 3-manifold is 1-collapsible. On the other hand, Cohen [2] proved that the 3-dimensional Poincaré conjecture is false if there exists an $X \in \mathcal{C}_2$ such that X embeds in a 3-manifold, but X is not 3-collapsible.

The positive collapsibility results, which have been achieved thus far, are that every element of \mathcal{C}_2 is 6-collapsible and every polyhedron in $\mathcal{C}_n (n \geq 3)$ is $2n$ -collapsible. These results are consequences of the fact [2] that any spine of I^q is q -collapsible. Better lower bounds for q -collapsibility have been achieved in the special cases where X is constructed by attaching 2-cells to circles a and b by the words $a^p b^q$, $a' b^s$, with $ps - qr = \pm 1$. See [5, 8, 10, 11, 14–17]. (It follows from [13] and [2] that all such complexes are 3-collapsible.)

The only non-collapsibility result heretofore has been the fact [3] that for all $n \geq 3$ there is an element $X_n \in \mathcal{C}_n$ which is not 1-collapsible. In this paper we greatly enlarge the known class of non-collapsibility phenomena by proving the following theorems.

THEOREM 1. *For every integer $m \geq 5$ there is a polyhedron \mathcal{B}^m , which is topologically an m -ball, such that \mathcal{B}^m is not $(m-4)$ -collapsible, while \mathcal{B}^m is $(m+1)$ -collapsible.*

The class of highly non-collapsible polyhedra for which we come closest to completely specifying the integers k for which k -collapsibility occurs is described in

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that Σ^n is a non-simply connected PL homology n -sphere ($n \geq 3$). Suppose $X = X^{n-1}$ is a spine of $\Sigma^n - (\text{Int } B^n)$, for some PL ball B^n in Σ^n . Then $S^0 * X$ is not $(n-2)$ -collapsible. But $S^0 * X$ is $(n+2)$ -collapsible if Σ^n bounds a contractible PL manifold.*

(A PL homology n -sphere is a closed PL n -manifold with the homology of S^n . When $n \geq 4$ they always bound contractible manifolds, by [9].)

The above theorems will be derived from the following Main Proposition (Theorem 1 using R. D. Edwards work on non-combinatorial triangulations of S^n) and the fact that spines of I^q are q -collapsible.

MAIN PROPOSITION. *Suppose Σ^n is a non-simply connected PL homology n -sphere. Let S^p denote the standard PL p -sphere ($p \geq 0$) and let B be a PL $(n+p+1)$ -ball in $(S^p * \Sigma^n) - S^p$. Let $\mathcal{B}^{n+p+1} = (S^p * \Sigma^n) - (\text{Int } B)$. Then \mathcal{B}^{n+p+1} is not $(n-2)$ -collapsible.*

Some remarks on stable collapsibility for arbitrarily triangulated topological balls, and a generalization of the first part of Theorem 1 by the third author, will be given in §5.

§2. PROOF OF THE MAIN PROPOSITION

Let $S^p (p \geq 0)$, $\Sigma^n (n \geq 3)$, and $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}^{n+p+1}$ be as in the Main Proposition. We shall prove this proposition via two lemmas. The first lemma gives a homotopy-theoretic

consequence of the geometric hypothesis that \mathcal{B} is k -collapsible. The second lemma, a purely homotopy-theoretic result, shows that this consequence cannot occur if $k \leq n - 2$.

LEMMA 1. *If \mathcal{B} is k -collapsible, then $S^p \times \Sigma^n$ embeds in a complex $(S^p \times \Sigma^n) \cup Y^{p+k+1}$ such that there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$(S^p \times \Sigma^n) \cup Y^{p+k+1} \simeq \Sigma^n \vee S^{p+n}$$

and such that each inclusion map $i_a (a \in S^p)$ induces an isomorphism

$$i_{a*}: \pi_1(a \times \Sigma^n) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_1((S^p \times \Sigma^n) \cup Y^{p+k+1}).$$

Proof. By assumption $\mathcal{B} \times I^k$ is collapsible. We triangulate so that it is simplicially collapsible and so that $S^p \times I^k$ is a subcomplex. We collapse in order of decreasing dimension until all of the $(p+k+2)$ -simplexes and some of the $(p+k+1)$ -simplexes are gone, and then we stop before any $(p+k)$ -simplexes are deleted. Hence

$$\mathcal{B} \times I^k \searrow (S^p \times I^k) \cup Y_1^{p+k+1} \quad (1)$$

for some subcomplex Y_1^{p+k+1} . We now use the fact ((3.1) of [3]) that, if $P \searrow Q \supset Q_0$, then $P \searrow (a \text{ second derived neighborhood of } Q_0) \cup Q$. Using this, (1) implies

$$\mathcal{B} \times I^k \searrow N(S^p \times I^k) \cup Y_2^{p+k+1}. \quad (2)$$

Here, $N(S^p \times I^k)$ is the regular neighborhood of $S^p \times I^k$ in $\mathcal{B} \times I^k$ and $Y_2 = Y_1 - \text{Int } N(S^p \times I^k)$. Ignoring $\text{Int } N(S^p \times I^k)$, which is not affected by these collapses, we have

$$(\mathcal{B} \times I^k) - \text{Int } N(S^p \times I^k) \searrow \partial N(S^p \times I^k) \cup Y_2^{p+k+1}. \quad (3)$$

Let $N(S^p)$ denote the regular neighborhood of S^p in \mathcal{B} . Then $N(S^p \times I^k) = N(S^p) \times I^k$ (see (6.1) of [2]). But, since $\mathcal{B} = (S^p * \Sigma^n) - (\text{Int } B)$ (where B is a PL ball missing S^p), $N(S^p)$ is also a regular neighborhood of S^p in $S^p * \Sigma^n$. Hence $N(S^p) \cong S^p \times \vee \Sigma^n$, $\partial N(S^p) \cong S^p \times \Sigma^n$ and $\mathcal{B} - \text{Int } N(S^p) \cong (cS^p \times \Sigma^n) - (\text{Int } B)$ (with B a PL ball in $\text{Int}(cS^p \times \Sigma^n)$). Thus (3) may be rewritten as

$$[(cS^p \times \Sigma^n) - (\text{Int } B)] \times I^k \searrow (S^p \times \Sigma^n) \times I^k \cup Y_2^{p+k+1} \simeq (S^p \times \Sigma^n) \cup Y^{p+k+1}. \quad (4)$$

The last homotopy equivalence is the one which is naturally induced by the projection $\pi: S^p \times \Sigma^n \times I^k \rightarrow S^p \times \Sigma^n$, each attaching map g for a cell of Y_2 being replaced by the attaching map πg for a cell of Y .

Note that, for each $a \in S^p$, the inclusion map induces isomorphisms

$$\pi_1(a \times \Sigma^n) \rightarrow \pi_1(cS^p \times \Sigma^n) \rightarrow \pi_1(cS^p \times \Sigma^n - \text{Int } B).$$

So the assertion that i_{a*} is an isomorphism follows from (4).

We may, however, collapse $[(cS^p \times \Sigma^n) - (\text{Int } B)] \times I^k$ in another manner, without even using the hypothesis that \mathcal{B} is k -collapsible. Since B is an $(n+p+1)$ -ball in the interior of the PL manifold $cS^p \times \Sigma^n$, we may assume that B is a small ball meeting $\Sigma^n (= \{c\} \times \Sigma^n)$ at a single point and that $cS^p \times \Sigma^n \searrow \Sigma^n \vee B$. (Such balls certainly exist. By homogeneity of manifolds we may assume that B has these properties.) Excising the interior of B and writing $\partial B = S^{p+n}$, we get

$$[(cS^p \times \Sigma^n) - (\text{Int } B)] \times I^k \searrow [(cS^p \times \Sigma^n) - (\text{Int } B)] \searrow \Sigma^n \vee S^{p+n} \quad (5)$$

Combining (4) and (5) we get a homotopy equivalence $f: (S^p \times \Sigma^n) \cup Y^{p+k+1} \rightarrow \Sigma^n \vee S^{p+n}$. \square

The Main Proposition will now follow directly from

LEMMA 2. *If, as in the conclusion of Lemma 1, $f: (S^p \times \Sigma^n) \cup Y^{p+k+1} \rightarrow \Sigma^n \vee S^{p+n}$ is a homotopy equivalence such that $f|(a \times \Sigma^n)$ induces an isomorphism on fundamental groups for all $a \in S^p$, then $k \geq n - 1$.*

Remark. Under this hypothesis it can occur that $k = n - 1$. For, let $Y = cS^p \times X^{n-1}$ and consider $(S^p \times \Sigma^n) \cup (cS^p \times X^{n-1}) \subset cS^p \times \Sigma^n$, where $X = X^{n-1}$ is a spine of $\Sigma^n - \text{Int}(PL\ n\text{-ball})$. Let $N(X)$ be a regular neighborhood of X in Σ^n , $B_0 = \text{Cl}(\Sigma^n - N(X))$, $B_1 = (1/2)(cS^p)$ (a smaller concentric cone) and $B = B_0 \times B_1$. One easily checks that

$$(S^p \times \Sigma^n) \cup (cS^p \times X) \nearrow (cS^p \times \Sigma^n) - \text{Int } B \searrow \Sigma^n \vee S^{p+n}.$$

(In fact the second collapse was given in the proof of Lemma 1.) Define f to be the composition of this expansion and collapse.

Proof of Lemma 2. Suppose, on the contrary, that $k \leq n - 2$. Consider the lift of f to universal covering spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{Z} = \overbrace{(S^p \times \Sigma^n) \cup Y^{p+k+1}} & \xrightarrow{f} & \overbrace{\Sigma^n \vee S^{p+n}} \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow q' \\ Z = (S^p \times \Sigma^n) \cup Y^{p+k+1} & \xrightarrow{f} & \Sigma^n \vee S^{p+n} \end{array}$$

Since $k \leq n - 2$, Y^{p+k+1} has no cells of dimension greater than $(p + n - 1)$. So $H_{p+n}(\tilde{Z}) = H_{p+n}(q^{-1}(S^p \times \Sigma^n))$, where we set $Z = (S^p \times \Sigma^n) \cup Y^{p+k+1}$. However, $q^{-1}(S^p \times \Sigma^n)$ is a $(p + n)$ -manifold. It is connected if $p > 0$ and has at most two components if $p = 0$. [This is because the composition $\pi_1(a \times \Sigma^n) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_1(S^p \times \Sigma^n) \xrightarrow{j_*} \pi_1(Z)$ is an isomorphism if i and j are inclusion maps. So $\pi_1(S^p \times \Sigma^n)$ goes onto $\pi_1(Z)$ if $p > 0$ and $\pi_1(a_i \times \Sigma^n)$ goes onto $\pi_1(Z)$ ($i = 1, 2$) if $S^0 = \{a_1, a_2\}$.] Thus $H_{p+n}(\tilde{Z})$ is a free abelian group of rank at most two. But $H_{p+n}(\tilde{Z}) \cong H_{p+n}(\Sigma^n \vee S^{p+n})$, where the latter is a free abelian group of rank equal to the cardinality of $\pi_1 \Sigma^n$, if $p \neq 0$ or $\pi_1 \Sigma^n$ is infinite, and to $1 + [\text{cardinality of } \pi_1 \Sigma^n]$ otherwise. Since $\pi_1 \Sigma^n$ is a non-trivial group with trivial abelianization this rank is certainly greater than two! Having reached a contradiction, we conclude that $k \geq n - 1$. □

§3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

THEOREM 1. *For every integer $m \geq 5$ there is a polyhedron \mathcal{B}^m , which is topologically an m -ball, such that \mathcal{B}^m is not $(m - 4)$ -collapsible, while \mathcal{B}^m is $(m + 1)$ -collapsible.*

Proof. Set $n = m - 2$. Let Σ^n be a non-simply connected PL homology n -sphere such that $\Sigma^n = \partial C^{n+1}$ for some PL manifold C^{n+1} with $C^{n+1} \times I \xrightarrow{PL} I^m$. Such Σ^n are well known to exist for all $n \geq 3$. (See [12] and [9] and use the high-dimensional Poincaré conjecture.) R. D. Edwards [6] (see also [7]) has proved that $S^1 * \Sigma^n$ is a topological m -sphere. Thus, by the Generalized Schonflies Theorem [1], $\mathcal{B}^m = (S^1 * \Sigma^n) - \text{Int } B$ is a topological ball, if B is a PL m -ball in $(S^1 * \Sigma^n) - S^1$. The Main Proposition asserts that this ball \mathcal{B}^m is not $(m - 4)$ -collapsible.

To see that \mathcal{B}^m is $(m + 1)$ -collapsible we show that it is a spine of I^{m+1} and apply [2]: Since $C^{n+1} \times I$ is an $(n + 2)$ -ball we may think of S^{n+1} as the double of C^{n+1} . Thus $S^{n+1} = C_1 \cup C_2$ where $C_1 \cong C_2 \cong C^{n+1}$ and $C_1 \cap C_2 = \Sigma^n$. We consider $S^1 * \Sigma^n \subset S^1 * S^{n+1}$. Define the *exterior* of one polyhedron in another to be the closure of the complement of its regular neighborhood. Let $C'_i = C_i - (\text{half-open collar})$ be the exterior of Σ^n in C_i ($i = 1, 2$). The exterior, E_i , of $S^1 * \Sigma^n$ in $S^1 * C_i$ is PL equivalent to the ball $I^2 \times C'_i$ (Fig. 1). [To see this, note that the exterior of S^1 in $S^1 * C_i$ is PL equivalent to $cS^1 \times C_i$. Enlarging to a regular neighborhood of $S^1 * \Sigma^n$ subtracts $cS^1 \times (\text{collar})$ from the exterior, leaving $cS^1 \times C'_i$.] Therefore the exterior of $S^1 * \Sigma^n$ in $S^1 * S^{n+1}$ is the disjoint union of 2-balls E_1 and E_2 . Connect these two balls by a tube T which meets $S^1 * \Sigma^n$ in an m -ball B_0 ; i.e., by a regular neighborhood in $S^1 * S^{n+1}$ of an arc in S^{n+1} which is transverse to Σ^n (Fig. 2). Then $E_1 \cup T \cup E_2$ is the exterior of $\mathcal{B}^m = (S^1 * \Sigma^n) - \text{Int } B$ where $B = B_0 \cup \text{collar}$. Clearly $E_1 \cup T \cup E_2$ is an $(m + 1)$ -ball. By




Fig. 1.




Fig. 2.

Newman's theorem its closed complement—the regular neighborhood of \mathcal{B}^m in $S^1 * S^{n+1} = S^{n+3}$ —is also a $PL(m+1)$ -ball. Thus \mathcal{B}^m is $(m+1)$ -collapsible. \square

§4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

THEOREM 2. Suppose that Σ^n is a non-simply connected PL homology n -sphere ($n \geq 3$). Suppose $X = X^{n-1}$ is a spine of $\Sigma^n - (\text{Int } B^n)$ for some PL ball B^n in Σ^n . Then $S^0 * X$ is not $(n-2)$ -collapsible. But $S^0 * X$ is $(n+2)$ -collapsible if Σ^n bounds a contractible PL $(n+1)$ -manifold.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B}^{n+1} = (S^0 * \Sigma^n) - (\text{Int } Q)$ where $Q = (1/2)(cS^0) \times B^n \subset S^0 * \Sigma^n$. By the Main Proposition, \mathcal{B}^{n+1} is not $(n-2)$ -collapsible. But one sees easily that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}^{n+1} &= (S^0 * \Sigma^n) - \text{Int } Q \\ &\searrow (S^0 * \Sigma^n) - \text{Int}(S^0 * B^n) \\ &\searrow S^0 * X. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mathcal{B}^{n+1} \times I^{n-2} \searrow (S^0 * X) \times I^{n-2}$. Since \mathcal{B}^{n+1} is not $(n-2)$ -collapsible it follows that $S^0 * X$ is not $(n-2)$ -collapsible.

If Σ^n bounds a contractible manifold then, by the proof of Theorem 1, \mathcal{B}^{n+1} is the spine of a $PL(n+2)$ -ball. Since $\mathcal{B}^{n+1} \searrow S^0 * X$, $S^0 * X$ is also the spine of a $PL(n+2)$ -ball and is thus $(n+2)$ -collapsible. \square

§5. GENERALIZATION TO ARBITRARY TRIANGULATED BALLS

The first part of Theorem 1 has been generalized by the third author to the

THEOREM. Let \mathcal{B}^m be any complex homeomorphic to an m -ball. Suppose there is a subcomplex $X \subset \text{Int } \mathcal{B}^m$ such that $p = \dim X \leq m-3$ and $\pi_1(\mathcal{B}^m - X) \neq 1$. Then \mathcal{B}^m is not $(m-p-3)$ -collapsible.

Remarks. (1). The subcomplex X in this theorem is “wild” in the same way that S^p was “wild” in Theorem 1: They have codimension at least three and non-simply connected complements. Notice that, by topological general position, this could not happen for any subcomplex each of whose simplexes is locally flat. But failure of some simplex of \mathcal{B}^m to be locally flat does not by itself imply non-collapsibility. For example, if B^p ($p \geq 2$) is a triangulated PL ball and Σ^n is a non-simply connected PL homology sphere which bounds a contractible manifold, then $B^p * \Sigma^n \cong^{PL} v * (\partial B^p * \Sigma^n)$ is a PL collapsible topological ball for which any p -simplex in $\text{Int } B^p$ fails to be locally flat since it has a non-simply connected link. Notice however that such a simplex does have a simply connected complement.

(2). The proof of the theorem above is similar to the proof of Theorem 1. X plays the role of S^p and the boundary of a regular neighborhood of X in \mathcal{B}^m plays the role

of $S^p \times \Sigma^n$. In the analogue of Lemma 1, however, we only know (from Van Kampen's Theorem) that the homotopy equivalence, f , gives a map into $S^{m-1} \vee K^{m-1}$ for some complex K^{m-1} , and when restricted to some component of the boundary this map induces a non-trivial homomorphism of fundamental groups. Fortunately, this is all that is needed in the analogue of Lemma 2 to show that the lift of f to universal covering spaces does not induce a $(\mathbb{Z}\pi_1)$ isomorphism of the top dimensional homology modules.

REFERENCES

1. M. BROWN: A proof of the generalized Schonflies Theorem, *Bull. Am. math. Soc.* **66** (1960), 74-76.
2. M. COHEN: Dimension estimates in collapsing $X \times I^q$, *Topology* **14** (1975), 253-256.
3. M. COHEN: Whitehead torsion, group extensions and Zeeman's conjecture in high dimensions, *Topology* **16** (1977), 79-88.
4. M. COHEN: A general theory of relative regular neighborhoods, *Trans. Am. math. Soc.* **136** (1969), 189-229.
5. P. DIERKER: Note on collapsing $K \times I$ where K is a contractible polyhedron, *Proc. Am. math. Soc.* **19** (1968), 425-428.
6. R. D. EDWARDS, to appear.
7. C. GRIFFEN: Disciplining dunce hats in 4-manifolds, to appear in *Ann. Math.*
8. M. A. GRAJEK: Collapsing $K \times I$ when K is a triodic 2-complex, *Notices Am. math. Soc.* **20** (1973), p.A-96, 7T-G130.
9. M. A. KERVAIRE: Smooth homology spheres and their fundamental groups, *Trans. Am. math. Soc.* **144** (1969), 67-72.
10. W. B. R. LICKORISH: On collapsing $X^2 \times I$, *Topology of Manifolds* (Eds J. C. Cantrell and C. H. Edwards), pp. 157-160. Markham, Chicago (1970).
11. W. B. R. LICKORISH: An improbable collapse, *Topology* **12** (1973), 5-8.
12. B. MAZUR: A note on some contractible 4-manifolds, *Ann. Math.* **73** (1961), 221-228.
13. R. S. STEVENS: Classification of 3-manifolds with certain spines, *Trans. Am. math. Soc.* **205** (1975), 151-166.
14. L. WAJDA: Collapsing of $K \times I^n$, *Notices Am. math. Soc.* **24** (1977), p. A-260, 77T-G26.
15. D. E. WEBSTER: Collapsing $K \times I$, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **74** (1973), 39-42.
16. P. WRIGHT: On the collapsibility of $K \times I^n$, *Q.J. Math. Oxford* (2), **2** (1971), 491-494.
17. P. WRIGHT: Collapsing $K \times I$ to vertical segments, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **69** (1971), 71-74.
18. E. C. ZEEMAN: On the dunce hat, *Topology* **2** (1964), 341-358.

Cornell University

Syracuse University